

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/841,462 04/24/		04/24/2001	/24/2001 Werner Obrecht	Mo-6025/LeA 34,439	5368	
157	7590	03/04/2004		EXAMINER		
BAYER PC	LYMER	RS LLC		ни, не	NRY S	
100 BAYER ROAD PITTSBURGH, PA 15205				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
TITIBORGII, TIT 10200				1713	1713	

DATE MAILED: 03/04/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
		09/841,462	OBRECHT ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Henry S. Hu	1713					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
1)⊠	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <i>RCE of January 14, 2004</i> .							
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowa	nce except for formal matters, pr	osecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
	4) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
′								
· —								
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 								
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
•	application from the International Burea							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
ı								
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)								
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date								
3) 🔲 Info	rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 er No(s)/Mail Date <u>5-6-03, 1-8-04</u> .	5) Solution of Informal 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Art Unit: 1713

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicant's RCE filed on January 14, 2004 is acknowledged. The limitation of Claim 1 was further amended to carry "polybutadiene rubber gel having a diameter of 5 to 1000 nm and with a glass transition temperature of <-60°C". After a close examination, the examiner has thereby withdrawn the previous 102 and 103 rejections. Claims 1-7 are pending now. An action follows.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. The limitation of parent Claim 1 of the present invention relates to rubber compounds comprising at least one double bond-containing rubber (A) and polybutadiene rubber gel having a diameter of 5 to 1000 nm and with a glass transition temperature of <-60°C (B), whereby component (B) is present in quantities of 10-150 wt%, relative to the total quantity of component (A), and optionally other fillers and rubber auxiliary substances in conventional quantities. See other limitations of Claims 2-7.

Art Unit: 1713

4. Claims 1-3, 5 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Obrecht et al. (US 5,395,891).

Regarding the limitation of parent Claim 1, Obrecht et al. disclose mixtures of (A) polybutadiene gel and (B) other rubbers containing C=C double bonds, wherein the quantity of polybutadiene gel is 1-70 % by weight based on the sum of (A) and (B) which is overlapping the claimed range by conversion (abstract, line 1-4; column 1, line 50-55; also see composition detail in column 5, line 48 – column 6, line 24). Obrecht et al. further disclose that the preparation of polybutadiene gels (as BR gels) by croslinking the polybutadiene latex having a particle size of 30-500 nm through in polymerization or after polymerization (column 2, line 8-9; column 4, line 26 – column 5, line 47; column 5, line 34-44). Some additives such as carbon black, ZnO, and TMTD can be also included as shown in the test mixtures for vulcanization (column 5, line 48 – column 6, line 24).

It is noted that Obrecht does not specifically disclose a Tg temperature for the above-mentioned polybutadiene. However, it is found by examiner that glass transition temperatures of polybutadiene polymers actually fall in the claimed range of <-60 °C. In the Aldrich Chemical catalog, the compound 18137-4 of <u>cis-polybutadiene</u> has a Tg of -102 °C and the compound 18138-2 of <u>cis, trans-polybutadiene</u> has a Tg of -95 °C. Additionally, the Applicants have admitted the <u>polybutadiene</u> rubber gels of present application were

Art Unit: 1713

<u>prepared according to the procedure of Obrecht</u> (see statement on page 3 at bottom lines of this RCE amendment). Therefore, all limitations of Claim 1 are anticipated by Obrecht.

5. Regarding Claim 2, Obrecht et al. disclose the amount of polybutadiene gel in the composition is 1-70 % by weight based on the sum of (A) and (B) as discussed in Claim 1, which is overlapping the claimed range by conversion.

Regarding Claim 3, Obrecht et al. disclose that EPDM, S-SBR, NR and BR are used as component (B) (column 2, line 51-54).

Regarding Claim 5, Obrecht et al. disclose on column 3, lines 44-58, the silica which commonly known as anhydrous or hydrated can be included in the rubber composition.

Regarding Claim 7, since polybutadiene latex is used for crosslinking to gel by Obrecht et al. as component (A), its glass transition temperature thereby sets forth the claimed limitation of Claim 7 as discussed in Claim 1.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 1713

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham v. John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 7. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Obrecht et al. (US 5,395,891) in view of Wolpers et al. (EP 530,590 with English abstract).

The rejection of 102(b) over Obrecht sets forth above for Claim 1 is incorporated here by reference. Regarding the limitation of Claim 4, the reference is silent about using 1,6-bis(N,N'-dibenzyl thiocarbamoyl dithio)hexane. Wolpers et al. teach the compound of 1,6-bis(N,N'-dibenzyl thiocarbamoyl dithio)hexane as shown in Formula (1) is included in diene rubber composition with sulfur, mercapto- and sulfenamide-accelerators (abstract, line 1-10). The advantage is such a rubber composition will become vulcanizable so that high aging stability and reversion stability can be obtained (abstract, line 1-2). Therefore, one having ordinary skill in the art would found it obvious to modify the rubber composition of Obrecht by adding a compound of 1,6-bis(N,N'-dibenzyl thiocarbamoyl dithio)hexane as taught by Wolpers with advantage as such articles made from such a new composition is expected to have high aging

Art Unit: 1713

stability and reversion stability since its vulcanizability is improved by this specific compound.

8. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Obrecht et al. (US 5,395,891) in view of Kondo et al. (US 5,393,816).

The rejection of 102(b) over Obrecht sets forth above for Claim 1 is incorporated here by reference. Regarding the limitation of Claim 6, the reference is silent about using bis(triethoxysilylpropyl) disulfane to activate the silicic acid. Kondo et al. teach that activator such as bis(triethoxysilylpropyl) disulfane can be included in a rubber composition comprising silica (column 4, line 5-68; specifically see line 56). The advantage is that a silane compound such as bis(triethoxysilylpropyl) disulfane can activate the silica and thereby to improve the flowability in molding process (column 4, line 60 – column 5, line 7). Therefore, one having ordinary skill in the art would found it obvious to modify the rubber composition of Obrecht by adding a silane compound of bis(triethoxysilylpropyl) disulfane to activate silica as taught by Kondo with advantage as such articles made from such a new composition is expected to have high flowability in the course of molding process, thereby to obtain a practical process as well as a better product.

Conclusion

Art Unit: 1713

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicants' disclosure. The following references relate to rubber compounds comprising a double bond-containing rubber and a polybutadiene rubber gel:

US Patent No. 4,824,908 to Tsutsumi et al. disclose a butadiene-based rubber composition reinforced with fillers for vulcanization in tire applications (abstract, line 1-20). A butadiene-based hompolymer or copolymer having a glass transition temperature of not less than -105 °C is used (abstract, line 1-10; column 2, line 25 – column 3, line 42). Other rubber such as natural rubber can be included together (column 5, line 28-51). However, no claimed polybutadiene rubber gel is disclosed. Therefore, Tsutsumi fails to teach or fairly suggest the limitations of present application.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner should be directed to Henry S. Hu whose telephone number is (571) 272-1103. The examiner can be reached on Monday through Friday from 9:00 AM -5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Wu, can be reached on (571) 272-1114. The fax number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306 for all regular communications.

Art Unit: 1713

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Henry S. Hu

February 20, 2004

DAVID W. WU SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700